EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1623-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102928041 **CASE NO.:** 34765

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Pharr

ORDER TYPE:				
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING		
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER		
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER			
CASE TYPE:				
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE		
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	_OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION		
XWATER QUALITYSEWAGE SLUDGEUNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
approximately 1.9 miles south of the intersec TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treat SMALL BUSINESS: YesX OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Ther facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other th COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Divis Respondent: The Honorable Leop Mr. Fred Sandoval, City Manager,	_ No re are no complaints. There is no record of additional and the ED and the Respondent has expressed an integister comment period expired on February 4, 2008. or: None r: Mr. Andrew Hunt, Enforcement Division, Enforce	rest in this matter. No comments were received. Mement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-1203; Mr.		

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Pharr **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1623-MWD-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-upX Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: September 20, 2007 Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: October 2, 2007 (NOE) Background Facts: This was a routine record review. One violation was documented.	Total Assessed: \$2,275 Total Deferred: \$455	Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that the City has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility: a. On or before April 4, 2007 repaired the oxidation ditch aerator motor; and b. During May 2007 achieved compliance with permitted effluent limitations.
WATER Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limitations for ammonia nitrogen [Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0010596001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1].	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	

Additional ID No(s).: WQ0010596001, TX0062219

	Delian Devision 0 (Oct		alty (Calculatio	n Works	sheet (P	•	ontombor 10, 2007
TOTA	Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002)					PCW Revision Se	eptember 19, 2007
DATES	S Assigned	8-Oct-2007	Partie Committee				 	
DATE	PCW	11-Oct-2007 S	creenin	g 11-Oct-2007	EPA Due	5-Dec-2007		· view conju
Lo				9[a a processor and a participation of the second
RESPO	ONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION						
	Respondent							
	Reg. Ent. Ref. No.							
F	acility/Site Region	15-Harlingen			Major/l	Minor Source	Major	
					The state of the s			
CASE	INFORMATION							
	Enf./Case ID No.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No.	of Violations		
		2007-1623-MWD-	·E			Order Type		
	Media Program(s)		, — , — , — ,		Ent	. Coordinator	EnforcementTeam 1	
	Multi-Media		<u> </u>	TRA	C40,000	EC s Team	Emorcementream	
	Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Winimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000			
				<u> </u>	1: 0	1.		
			Pena	alty Calcula	ation Sec	tion		TOTAL STREET
TOTA	AL BASE PENAI	TY (Sum of vi	olation	base penaltic	es)		Subtotal 1	\$2,500
1017	AL DAOL I LIVA	-11 (Odin Or Vi	olution	base perianti	797 : Part II ja da i			
ΔD.II	JSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTA	1 1					
7000		ined by multiplying the T		Penalty (Subtotal 1) b	y the indicated per	centage.		
	Compliance Hist				Enhancement		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$400
			nhanced	because the Re	spondent self-	reported two		
	Notes	1 1 1 1 1		ons and received				
	110100			imilar to those cit				
		1101.01					1	-CO-production
	Culpability	No		0%	Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
		Terror Lynn		agaga ana biji ji ka dalaya bi bi bi bi bi a ka da		- And the first the same of th		
	Notes	The Res	pondent	does not meet th	e culpability cr	iteria.		
	Good Faith Effor	t to Comply		25%	Reduction		Subtotal 5	\$625
	Good Faith Ello		JOV to EDE	RP/Settlement Offer				
	Extraordinar							
	Ordinan							
	N/A		nark with x)				,	
		13.				7 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	· ·	
	Notes	The Respo	ondent re	turned to complia	ance during Ma	ay 2007.		
				0%	Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
		Total EB Amounts	\$147		d at the Total EB	\$ Amount	ears of all and a second visit and	
	Approx	. Cost of Compliance	\$8,451					
							ent in an analysis engine	
SUM	OF SUBTOTAL	.S 1-7				and a supplier	Final Subtotal	\$2,275
							Service of the servic	
ОТН	ER FACTORS A	S JUSTICE MA	Y REC	UIRE	0%		Adjustment	\$0
Reduce	es or enhances the Final	Subtotal by the indicated	d percentag	je.		# F 15 F 15		
	Notes							
4						Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$2,275
ĺ								
STAT	TUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT				Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$2,275
	retarn emili						esta e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
DEE	ERRAL				20%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$455
	ENNAL es the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the indicted ner	centage.	Enter number onlv: e	· L · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1,100000		, z, z.z masted por			<u> </u>		7	
	Notes	n	eferral o	ffered for expedit	ed settlement.			
	110.00							
							_	
D 437	ADLE DEMALT	,					Atlantin el Ex	\$1.820

Screening Date 11-Oct-2007

Docket No. 2007-1623-MWD-E

DOW

Respondent City of Pharr

Case ID No. 34765

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision September 19, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102928041 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Andrew Hunt

Compliance History Worksheet

Component		inter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	3	6%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	. O	0%
Judgments		0	0%
and Conser Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0%
Convictions		0	0%
Emissions		. 0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	NETTO SELECTION	0%
- Addition	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	ii Massina O lissis He Viissis	0%
	Pleas	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 2) [
	cubtotal 3).		
<u> </u>	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 3) [
npliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average	Performer Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7) [
npliance Histo	ry Summary	r Paris	
Complianc History Notes	The penalty is enhanced because the Respondent self-reported two months of effluent vice received three NOVs with violations not same or similar to those cited in this action.		
	Total Adjustment Percentage		

Screening Date	11-Oct-2007	Docket No. 2007-1623-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent	City of Pharr	Polic	y Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCV	V Revision September 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator	p		
Violation Number Rule Cite(s	Tex. Water Co.	e § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Polluta	int
1.3.0 3.13(5)	Discharge	Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010596001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1	
Violation Description	Failed to co	mply with the permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the attached violation table.	
		Base Pena	lty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human H	ealth Matrix	
D - L	Major	Harm Moderate Minor	
Release OR Actua	r	Moderate Minor x	
Potentia		Percent 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	SHORE CONTRACTOR		
Falsification	Major	Moderate Minor	
		Percent 0%	
Matrix amounts of Notes these disc	pollutants exceed harges, human h	d to evaluate ammonia nitrogen to determine whether the discharged led levels protective of human health or the environment. As a result ealth or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts occeed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors.	of of
	Leginian (ERC)	Adjustment \$7,	500
			\$2,500
Violation Events	geretoreses Sussignments		1905 B.S. 18 (19) 33 (2) (1)
Number of V	iolation Events	1 61 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X Violation Base Pena	alty \$2,500
	Property Services of the Services L		
		One quarterly event is recommended.	:
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount[\$147 Violation Final Penalty T	otal \$2,275
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lim	sits) \$2,275
e acatema la constanta di	cyane.		EMILES

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent City of Pharr Case ID No. 34765 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102928041 Media Water Quality Years of Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 1 5.0 15 Final Date Interest Saved **Onetime Costs EB Amount** Item Cost **Date Required** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.2 \$140 \$147 Buildings 0.0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.0 0.0 \$0 Land \$0 n/a Record Keeping System 0.0 \$0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0,0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 Estimated labor and equipment replacement/repair costs to the aerator. Date Required is first month of non-Notes for DELAYED costs compliance. Final Date is date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0.0 0.0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0,0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] 0,0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0,0 Other (as needed) 0.0 Notes for AVOIDED costs TOTAL \$147 Approx, Cost of Compliance \$8,451

FF PER	EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE						
City of Pharr TPDES Permit No. WQ0010596001 Docket No. 2007-1623-MWD-E							
Months	NH3-N daily avg. loading Limit = 125 lbs/day	NH3-N daily avg. conc. Limit = 3 mg/L	NH3-N daily max. conc. Limit = 10 mg/L				
3/31/2007	159	6	14				
4/30/2007	150	С	19				

avg. = average
c = compliant
conc. = concentration

lbs/day = pounds per day max. = maximum

mg/L = milligrams per Liter NH3-N = ammonia nitrogen

Compliance History

CN600245898 City of Pharr Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.94 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: RN102928041 CITY OF PHARR WASTEWATER Classification: Average Site Rating: 0.27 Regulated Entity: TREATMENT PLANT WQ0010596001 WASTEWATER PERMIT ID Number(s): EPA ID TX0062219 WASTEWATER PERMIT' TPDES0062219 WASTEWATER TX0062219 WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010596001 WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE TXR153756 STORMWATER PERMIT Located adjacent to South "I" Road, approximately 1.9 miles south of the intersection of South "I" Road and U.S. Location: Highway 83 Business in Hidalgo County, Texas Rating Date: September 01 07 TCEQ Region: **REGION 15 - HARLINGEN** Date Compliance History Prepared: October 08, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: October 08, 2002 to October 08, 2007 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History 512-239-1203 Name Andrew Hunt Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C. Chronic excessive emissions events. D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) 1 10/14/2002 (191179)2 11/18/2002 (191183) 3 12/16/2002 (191187) 4 01/13/2003 (191191)(191150)5 02/21/2003 6 03/05/2003 (21608)7 03/18/2003 (191153)8 03/18/2003 (309445) 9 04/14/2003 (191158)10 05/20/2003 (191162) 11 06/13/2003 (191166)12 06/30/2003 (191170) 13 08/19/2003 (309453) (309455) 14 09/19/2003 15 10/17/2003 (309457) (309458) 16 11/19/2003 17 12/16/2003 (309459)

(309460)

(309442)

(309446)

(309448)

(272307)

(309450)

(357813)

(357814)

(357815)

(357816)

(357817)

(384974)

(384975)

18 01/05/2004

19 02/18/2004

20 04/20/2004

21 05/19/2004

22 06/01/2004 23 06/21/2004

24 07/20/2004

25 08/16/2004

26 09/15/2004

27 10/12/2004

28 11/18/2004

29 12/20/2004

30 01/04/2005

```
31 02/17/2005
                   (384973)
32 03/21/2005
                   (422976)
33 04/18/2005
                   (422977)
34 05/13/2005
                   (422978)
35 06/20/2005
                   (422979)
36 06/28/2005
                   (395638)
37 07/08/2005
                   (443863)
38 08/22/2005
                   (443864)
39 09/14/2005
                   (443865)
40 10/18/2005
                   (474025)
41 11/21/2005
                   (474026)
42 12/27/2005
                   (474027)
43 02/17/2006
                   (474023)
44 03/01/2006
                   (474028)
45 03/20/2006
                   (474024)
46 04/21/2006
                   (501961)
                   (501962)
47 05/16/2006
                   (501963)
48 06/21/2006
49 06/26/2006
                   (501964)
50 08/17/2006
                   (531022)
51 08/21/2006
                   (524236)
52 09/18/2006
                   (549196)
53 10/04/2006
                   (531023)
54 10/11/2006
                   (524237
55 10/16/2006
                   (549199)
56 11/15/2006
                   (549197)
57 12/21/2006
                   (549198)
58 01/22/2007
                   (549200)
59 02/20/2007
                   (582852)
60 03/14/2007
                   (582853)
61 04/05/2007
                   (593291)
62 04/20/2007
                   (582854)
63 05/17/2007
                   (582855)
64 06/15/2007
                   (582856)
65 08/25/2007
                   (568310)
66 10/03/2007
                   (595266)
```

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 08/17/2006

(531022)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

Ē.

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 10/04/2006

(531023)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 03/31/2007

(582854)

YES

Self Report?

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/05/2007

(593291)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 04/30/2007

(582855)

Self Report?

YES

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

•			
•			

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF PHARR	§	·
RN102928041	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1623-MWD-E

I, JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Pharr ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment system located adjacent to South "I" Road, approximately 1.9 miles south of the intersection of South "I" Road and U.S. Highway 83 Business in Hidalgo County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 7, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Two Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$2,275) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The City has paid One Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$1,820) of the

		÷	

administrative penalty and Four Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$455) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the City has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On or before April 4, 2007 repaired the oxidation ditch aerator motor; and
 - b. During May 2007 achieved compliance with permitted effluent limitations.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have failed to comply with the permitted effluent limitations, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0010596001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on September 20, 2007, and shown in the violation table below:

T	LUENT VIC City o PDES Permit N Docket No. 200	of Pharr No. WQ001059	6001
Months	NH3-N daily avg. loading	NH3-N daily avg. conc.	NH3-N daily max. conc.
en constitue	Limit = 125 lbs/day	Limit = 3 mg/L	Limit = 10 mg/L
3/31/2007	159	6	14
4/30/2007	150	с	19

avg. = average

1bs/day = pounds per day

c = compliant

max. = maximum

conc. = concentration

mg/L = milligrams per Liter

NH3-N = ammonia nitrogen

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Pharr, Docket No. 2007-1623-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

City of Pharr DOCKET NO. 2007-1623-MWD-E Page 4

- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

City of Pharr DOCKET NO. 2007-1623-MWD-E Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
John Sydnin	1/21/2008
For the Executive Director	Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature Sandoval
Name (Printed on typed)

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of

City of Pharr

11-27-07

Date

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues